

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008) 62–79

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Stability of homomorphisms for a 3D Cauchy–Jensen type functional equation on C^* -ternary algebras

Abbas Najati^a, Asghar Ranjbari^{b,*}

^a Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

^b Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

Received 22 May 2007

Available online 21 September 2007

Submitted by J. Diestel

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate homomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras, and derivations on C^* -ternary algebras associated with the following Cauchy–Jensen type additive functional equation:

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}+y\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}+x\right)=2(f(x)+f(y)+f(z)).$$

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Jensen functional equation; Homomorphism in quasi-Banach algebra; Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability; p -Banach algebra

1. Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [39] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Let $(G_1, *)$ be a group and let (G_2, \diamond, d) be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in G_1$?

In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable, i.e., if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism near it. In 1941, Hyers [7] considered the case of approximately additive mappings in Banach spaces and satisfying the well-known weak Hyers inequality controlled by a positive constant.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: a.najati@yahoo.com (A. Najati), ranjbari@tabrizu.ac.ir (A. Ranjbari).

The famous Hyers stability result that appeared in [7] was generalized in the stability involving a sum of powers of norms by Aoki [2]. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [32] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded.

In 1982, J.M. Rassias [25] following the spirit of the innovative approach of Th.M. Rassias [32] for the unbounded Cauchy difference proved a similar stability theorem in which he replaced the factor $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ by $\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p + q \neq 1$.

Theorem 1.1 (Th.M. Rassias). *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$ then inequality (1.1) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.2) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if the mapping $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then L is \mathbb{R} -linear.

Theorem 1.2 (J.M. Rassias). *Let X be a real normed linear space and Y be a real complete normed linear space. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an approximately additive mapping for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r = p + q \neq 1$ and f satisfies inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{|2^r - 2|} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping such that the transformation $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then L is an \mathbb{R} -linear mapping.

In 1990, Th.M. Rassias [33] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Z. Gajda [4] following the same approach as in Th.M. Rassias [32], gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. It was shown by Z. Gajda [4], as well as by Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [37] that one cannot prove a Th.M. Rassias' type theorem when $p = 1$. The counterexamples of Z. Gajda [4], as well as of Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [37] have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new definitions of *approximately additive* or *approximately linear* mappings, cf. P. Găvruta [5], S. Jung [13], who among others studied the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of functional equations. The inequality (1.1) that was introduced for the first time by Th.M. Rassias [32] provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers–Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as *generalized Hyers–Ulam stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of P. Czerwik [3], D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [8]).

In J.M. Rassias' Theorem, there was a singular case. Then for this singularity, a counterexample was given by Găvruta [6].

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [9–11, 14]). For further research developments in stability of functional equations, the readers are referred to the works of Park [15–24], J.M. Rassias [25–31], Th.M. Rassias [32–36], Skof [38] and the references cited therein.

A C^* -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space A , equipped with a ternary product $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x, y, z]$ of A^3 into A , which is \mathbb{C} -linear in the outer variables, conjugate \mathbb{C} -linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[x, y, [z, w, v]] = [x, [w, z, y], v] = [[x, y, z], w, v]$, and satisfies $\|[x, y, z]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\|$

and $\|[x, x, x]\| = \|x\|^3$ (see [1,40]). Every left Hilbert C^* -module is a C^* -ternary algebra via the ternary product $[x, y, z] := \langle x, y \rangle z$.

If a C^* -ternary algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ has an identity, i.e., an element $e \in A$ such that $x = [x, e, e] = [e, e, x]$ for all $x \in A$, then it is a routine to verify that A , endowed with $x \circ y := [x, e, y]$ and $x^* := [e, x, e]$, is a unital C^* -algebra. Conversely, if (A, \circ) is a unital C^* -algebra, then $[x, y, z] := x \circ y^* \circ z$ makes A into a C^* -ternary algebra.

A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism if

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If, in addition, the mapping H is bijective, then the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -ternary derivation if

$$\delta([x, y, z]) = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, \delta(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ (see [1]).

2. Stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and that B is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

We will use the following lemma in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and Y be linear spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a uniquely 2-divisible abelian group and Y be a linear space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+z}{2} + y\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2} + x\right) = 2[f(x) + f(y) + f(z)] \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ if and only if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

Proof. Suppose that f satisfies (2.1). Letting $y = z = x$ in (2.1), we get $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. So $f(0) = 0$ and $2f(x/2) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore by letting $y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.1), we get $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Letting $z = -y$ in (2.1), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = f(x) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x and y by $x+y$ and $x-y$ in (2.2), respectively, we infer that $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. So the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

It is clear that each additive mapping satisfies (2.1). \square

For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x, y, z) &:= f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+z}{2} + y\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2} + x\right) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - 2f(z), \\ D_\mu f(x, y, z) &:= f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2} + \mu z\right) + f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu z}{2} + \mu y\right) + f\left(\frac{\mu y + \mu z}{2} + \mu x\right) \\ &\quad - 2\mu f(x) - 2\mu f(y) - 2\mu f(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and all $x, y, z \in A$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X and Y be linear spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$D_\mu f(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Proof. Letting $y = z = 0$ in (2.3) and using Lemma 2.2, we get $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$. Now by using Lemma 2.2 twice and Lemma 2.1, we infer that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. \square

In the following we investigate the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of (2.3).

Theorem 2.4. Let $\varphi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \varphi(x, y, z), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\varphi}(x) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x = y = z$ in (2.6), we get

$$\|3f(2x) - 6f(x)\|_B \leq \varphi(x, x, x) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x by $2^n x$ in (2.9) and divide both sides of (2.9) by $3 \times 2^{n+1}$, we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n+1}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_B &= \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n \left[\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} f(2^{k+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} f(2^{k+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. It follows from (2.4) and (2.10) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in B for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$ converges for all $x \in A$. Thus one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10) we get (2.8). It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_\mu H(x, y, z)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|D_\mu f(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So $D_\mu H(x, y, z) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. By Lemma 2.3 the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from (2.5) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H([x, y, z]) - [H(x), H(y), H(z)]\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \|f([2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z]) - [f(2^n x), f(2^n y), f(2^n z)]\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Now, let $I : A \rightarrow B$ be another C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (2.8). Then we have from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - I(x)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|f(2^n x) - I(2^n x)\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \tilde{\varphi}(2^n x) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. So $H(x) = I(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (2.8). \square

Corollary 2.5. Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be positive real numbers such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 < 1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 < 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta (\|x\|_A^{p_1} + \|y\|_A^{p_2} + \|z\|_A^{p_3}), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \epsilon (\|x\|_A^{q_1} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|z\|_A^{q_3}) \quad (2.12)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2 - 2^{p_1}} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2 - 2^{p_2}} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2 - 2^{p_3}} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Remark 2.6. Replacing (2.11) by $\|Df(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta (\|x\|_A^{p_1} + \|y\|_A^{p_2} + \|z\|_A^{p_3})$, in Corollary 2.5, we get that the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is additive and satisfies (2.13). By using the results of [12,37], we prove in the following example that the mapping constructed by Rassias and Šemrl serves as a counterexample for the case $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 1$.

Example 2.7. We prove that the continuous real-valued mapping defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \log_2(x + 1), & x \geq 0, \\ x \log_2|x - 1|, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

satisfies the inequality

$$|Df(x, y, z)| \leq 4(|x| + |y| + |z|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, and the range of $|f(x) - H(x)|/|x|$ for $x \neq 0$ is unbounded for each additive mapping $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

It follows from [12,37] that the mapping f satisfies the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |x| + |y|, \\ \left| 2f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right| &\leq 2(|x| + |y|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Df(x, y, z)| &\leq \left| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| f\left(\frac{x+z}{2} + y\right) - f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) - f(y) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| 2f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(z) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| f\left(\frac{y+z}{2} + x\right) - f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) - f(x) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| 2f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) - f(y) - f(z) \right| \\ &\leq 4(|x| + |y| + |z|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = +\infty$, then the range of $|f(x) - H(x)|/|x|$ for $x \neq 0$ is unbounded for each additive mapping $H: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\Phi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \Phi(x, y, z), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \Psi(x, y, z) \quad (2.17)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\Phi}(x) \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x = y = z$ in (2.16), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{3} \Phi(x, x, x) \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x by $\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}$ in (2.19) and multiply both sides of (2.19) to 2^n , we get

$$\left\| 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \leq \frac{2^n}{3} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_B &= \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n \left[2^{k+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) - 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^n \left\| 2^{k+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) - 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{k+1} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. It follows from (2.14) and (2.20) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in B for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges for all $x \in A$. Thus one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.20) we get (2.18). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2$ and q_3 be non-negative real numbers such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (2.11) and (2.12). Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{p_1} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2^{p_2} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2^{p_3} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\}$$

for all $x \in A$.

3. Homomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras

In the following we investigate the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of (2.3).

Lemma 3.1. *Let X and Y be linear spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.1) for all $x, y, z \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.*

Proof. Suppose that f satisfies (2.1). Letting $y = z = x$ in (2.1), we get

$$f(2x) = 2f(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = z = -x$ in (2.1), we get

$$2f(-x) + 2f(x) = f(0) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = 3x, z = -x$ in (2.1) and using (3.1), we get

$$f(3x) = f(x) - 2f(-x) \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.1) that $2f(x/2) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. So by letting $y = x$ and $z = 2x$ in (2.1) and using (3.1), we get

$$f(5x) + f(3x) = 8f(x) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Putting $y = 5x$ and $z = -x$ in (2.1) and using (3.2), we get

$$f(5x) - f(3x) = 2f(x) - f(0) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$2f(3x) = 6f(x) + f(0) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.6) that

$$4[f(x) + f(-x)] + f(0) = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.2) and (3.7) that $f(0) = 0$. Hence it follows from (3.2) that f is odd. Therefore by letting $z = -x$ in (2.1), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) = f(y) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Since f is odd, then (3.8) holds for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x and y by $x - y$ and $x + y$ in (3.8), respectively, we get $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. So the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

It is clear that each additive mapping satisfies (2.1). \square

Notation. Let X be a linear space. $x \in X^*$ means $x \in X$ or $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let ϵ, θ be non-negative real numbers and let $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers such that $p_i < 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $q_j \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^{p_1} \|y\|_A^{p_2} \|z\|_A^{p_3}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \epsilon \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A^*$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{3(2 - 2^\lambda)} \|x\|_A^\lambda \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$, where $\lambda = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x = y = z$ in (3.9), we get

$$\|3f(2x) - 6f(x)\|_B \leq \|x\|_A^\lambda \quad (3.12)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. If we replace x by $2^n x$ in (3.12) and divide both sides of (3.12) by 6×2^n , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2} \right)^n \|x\|_A^\lambda$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_B &= \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n \left[\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} f(2^{k+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} f(2^{k+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=m}^n \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2} \right)^k \|x\|_A^\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ and all non-negative integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. Since $\lambda < 0$, it follows from (3.13) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in B for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$ converges for all $x \in A$. Thus one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.13) we get (3.11). It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_\mu H(x, y, z)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|D_\mu f(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2} \right)^n \|x\|_A^{p_1} \|y\|_A^{p_2} \|z\|_A^{p_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$. So $D_\mu H(x, y, z) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.3 the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Without any loss of generality, we may suppose that $q_1 \neq 1$. Let $q_1 > 1$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H([x, y, z]) - [H(x), H(y), H(z)]\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{2^n}, y, z\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f(y), f(z)\right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{nq_1}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A^*$. Therefore

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)] \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A^*$. Since $H(0) = 0$, then (3.14) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$. Similarly, for $q_1 < 1$, we get (3.14). So the mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Now, let $T: A \rightarrow B$ be another C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (3.11). Then we have from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|f(2^n x) - T(2^n x)\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3(2 - 2^\lambda)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2}\right)^n \|x\|_A^\lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Since $H(0) = T(0) = 0$, so $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (3.11). \square

Remark 3.3. Theorem 3.2 will be valid if we replace the condition $q_j \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 3$ by one of the conditions $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \neq 3$ or $q_i + q_j \neq 2$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

Theorem 3.4. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 be real numbers and $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $p_i > 0$ and $q_j \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.9) and (3.10) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we suppose $p_1 > 0$. By letting $x = y = z = 0$ in (3.9), we get $f(0) = 0$. Letting $x = y = 0$ and replacing z by $2z$ in (3.9), we get

$$f(2\mu z) + 2f(\mu z) = 2\mu f(2z) \quad (3.15)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $z \in A$. Letting $\mu = 1$ in (3.15), we get

$$f(2z) = 2f(z) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $z \in A$. We get from (3.15) and (3.16) that $f(\mu z) = \mu f(z)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $z \in A$. Therefore f is an odd function.

Letting $x = 0$ and replacing y and z by $2y$ and $2z$ in (3.9), respectively, we get

$$f(y + 2z) + f(z + 2y) + f(y + z) = 4f(y) + 4f(z) \quad (3.17)$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Replacing y by $y + z$ and z by $-z$ in (3.17) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$f(y - z) + f(2y + z) + f(y) = 4f(y + z) - 4f(z) \quad (3.18)$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Replacing y by z and z by y in (3.18) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$-f(y - z) + f(2z + y) + f(z) = 4f(y + z) - 4f(y) \quad (3.19)$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Adding (3.18) to (3.19) we have

$$f(y + 2z) + f(z + 2y) = 8f(y + z) - 5f(y) - 5f(z) \quad (3.20)$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Now, by (3.17) and (3.20), we have $f(y + z) = f(y) + f(z)$ for all $y, z \in A$. Hence by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Without any loss of generality, we may suppose that $q_1 \neq 1$. Let $q_1 > 1$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{2^n}, y, z\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f(y), f(z)\right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{nq_1}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \quad (3.21)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (3.21) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, for $q_1 < 1$, we get (3.21). So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

We will use the following lemma in the proof of the next theorem.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X and Y be linear spaces. An odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2} + y\right) + f\left(\frac{y}{2} + x\right) = 2[f(x) + f(y)] \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

Proof. Suppose that f satisfies (3.22). Since f is odd, then $f(0) = 0$. Letting $y = x$ in (3.22), we get

$$f\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2}f(x) \quad (3.23)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = 2x$ in (3.22) and using (3.23), we get

$$f\left(\frac{5x}{2}\right) = f(2x) + \frac{1}{2}f(x) \quad (3.24)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = -2x$ in (3.22) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$f\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = 2f(2x) - 2f(x) \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.25) that

$$f(3x) + f(x) = 2f(4x) - 2f(2x) \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = 4x$ in (3.22) and using (3.23) and (3.24), we get

$$5f(3x) = 4f(4x) - 2f(2x) + 3f(x) \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.26) and (3.27) that

$$3f(4x) = 4f(2x) + 4f(x) \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.23) and (3.25) that

$$7f(x) + 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = 4f(2x)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Replacing x by $2x$ in the last equation, we get

$$4f(4x) = 7f(2x) + 2f(x) \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.28) and (3.29) that $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Since $f(0) = 0$, then $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore (3.22) holds for all $x, y \in X$. Hence the mapping f satisfies (3.17) for all $y, z \in X$. Using the proof of Theorem 3.4, we get that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

It is clear that each additive mapping satisfies (3.22). \square

Theorem 3.6. Let ϵ, θ be non-negative real numbers and let $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers such that $p_i p_j < 0$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ and $q_j \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.9) and (3.10) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A^*$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $p_3 > 0$. Let $\mu = 1$. Letting $z = 0$ in (3.9), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2} + y\right) + f\left(\frac{y}{2} + x\right) = 2[f(x) + f(y) + f(0)] \quad (3.30)$$

for all $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$. We show that f is additive.

Letting $y = -x$ in (3.30), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) = 2[f(x) + f(-x)] + f(0) \quad (3.31)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.31) that

$$f(x) + f(-x) = 2[f(2x) + f(-2x)] + f(0), \quad (3.32)$$

$$f\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{-3x}{2}\right) = 2[f(3x) + f(-3x)] + f(0) \quad (3.33)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = x$ in (3.30), we get

$$2f\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) = 3f(x) + 2f(0) \quad (3.34)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.34) that

$$2\left[f\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{-3x}{2}\right)\right] = 3[f(x) + f(-x)] + 4f(0), \quad (3.35)$$

$$2[f(3x) + f(-3x)] = 3[f(2x) + f(-2x)] + 4f(0) \quad (3.36)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.33) and (3.35) that

$$3[f(x) + f(-x)] + 2f(0) = 4[f(3x) + f(-3x)] \quad (3.37)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from (3.36) and (3.37) that

$$f(x) + f(-x) = 2[f(2x) + f(-2x) + f(0)] \quad (3.38)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Now, we get from (3.32) and (3.38) that $f(0) = 0$. Hence (3.38) implies that

$$f(x) + f(-x) = 2[f(2x) + f(-2x)] \quad (3.39)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $y = -2x$ in (3.30) and using (3.34) (with $f(0) = 0$), we get

$$f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) + \frac{3}{2}f(-x) = 2[f(x) + f(-2x)]$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from the last equation that

$$\left[f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)\right] + \frac{3}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)] = 2[f(x) + f(-x)] + 2[f(2x) + f(-2x)] \quad (3.40)$$

for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Since $f(0) = 0$, then it follows from (3.31), (3.39) and (3.40) that $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Since $f(0) = 0$, then f is odd. Therefore the odd mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies (3.22) for all $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$. So by Lemma 3.5, the mapping f is additive. Therefore by letting $z = 0$ and $y = x$ in (3.9), we get $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Since $f(0) = 0$, then $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in A$. So by Lemma 2.1, the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.7. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \neq 3$ and $p_i > 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.9) and (3.10) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.4, the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 > 3$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right), f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{8^n}{2^{n(q_1+q_2+q_3)}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore we get (3.21) for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (3.21) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, for $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 < 3$, we get (3.21). So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

Remark 3.8. If we replace the condition $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \neq 3$ in Theorem 3.7 by $q_i + q_j \neq 2$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, then by using the similar proof of Theorem 3.7, we get that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Remark 3.9. It is an open problem: can we prove Theorems 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7 when $q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = 1$?

4. Homomorphisms between unital C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a unital C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$, unit e and that B is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ and unit e' .

We investigate homomorphisms between unital C^* -ternary algebras, associated to the functional equation $D_\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be positive real numbers such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 < 1$, $q_1, q_2 < 2$ and $q_3 < 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (2.11) and (2.12). If there exists a real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$ ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Proof. By Corollary 2.5 there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2-2p_1} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2-2p_2} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2-2p_3} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x) \quad \left(H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Therefore by the assumption, we get that $H(x_0) = e'$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$. It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[H(x), H(y), H(z)] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)]\|_B &= \|H[x, y, z] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)]\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \|f([\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y, z]) - [f(\lambda^n x), f(\lambda^n y), f(z)]\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} [\lambda^{nq_1} \|x\|_A^{q_1} + \lambda^{nq_2} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|z\|_A^{q_3}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So $[H(x), H(y), H(z)] = [H(x), H(y), f(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = x_0$ in the last equality, we get $f(z) = H(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Similarly, one can show that $H(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in A$ when $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}) = e'$. Therefore the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

Remark 4.2. Theorem 4.1 will be valid if we replace the conditions $q_1, q_2 < 2$ and $q_3 < 3$ by $q_2, q_3 < 2$ and $q_1 < 3$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2$ and q_3 be non-negative real numbers such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 2$. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (2.11) and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \epsilon (\|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} + \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|z\|_A^{q_3}) \quad (4.3)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. If there exist a real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}) = e'$ ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$), then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 2.8 there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{p_1}-2} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2^{p_2}-2} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2^{p_3}-2} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\} \quad (4.4)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.4) that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \quad \left(H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x) \right) \quad (4.5)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Therefore by the assumption, we get that $H(x_0) = e'$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}) = e'$. It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [H(x), H(y), H(z)] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \|_B \\ &= \| H[x, y, z] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2n} \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{\lambda^n}, \frac{y}{\lambda^n}, z\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{\lambda^n}\right), f(z)\right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2n} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^{n(q_1+q_2)}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{nq_2}} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{nq_1}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So $[H(x), H(y), H(z)] = [H(x), H(y), f(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = x_0$ in the last equality, we get $f(z) = H(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Similarly, one can show that $H(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in A$ when $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$. Therefore the mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

5. Stability of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

In this section we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the functional equation $D_\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\varphi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \varphi(x, y, z), \quad (5.3)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (5.4)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra derivation $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_A \leq \frac{1}{6}\tilde{\varphi}(x) \quad (5.5)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.4, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (5.5) and

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D[x, y, z] - [D(x), y, z] - [x, D(y), z] - [x, y, D(z)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \|f[2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z] - [f(2^n x), 2^n y, 2^n z] - [2^n x, f(2^n y), 2^n z] - [2^n x, 2^n y, f(2^n z)]\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$D[x, y, z] = [D(x), y, z] + [x, D(y), z] + [x, y, D(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore the mapping $D: A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation. \square

Theorem 5.2. Let $\varphi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.1). Suppose that the function $\psi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, z) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(2^n x, y, 2^n z) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.3) and (5.4). Then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.4, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. We show that if the mapping ψ satisfies one of the conditions (i), (ii) or (iii), then $f = D$.

Let ψ satisfies (i) (we have a similar proof if ψ satisfies (ii) or (iii)). It follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D[x, y, z] - [D(x), y, z] - [x, D(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|f[2^n x, 2^n y, z] - [f(2^n x), 2^n y, z] - [2^n x, f(2^n y), z] - [2^n x, 2^n y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$D([x, y, z]) = [D(x), y, z] + [x, D(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Replacing z by $2z$ in (5.6), we get

$$2D([x, y, z]) = 2[D(x), y, z] + 2[x, D(y), z] + [x, y, f(2z)] \quad (5.7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. It follows from (5.6) and (5.7) that

$$[x, y, f(2z) - 2f(z)] = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = f(2z) - 2f(z)$ in the last equation, we get

$$\|f(2z) - 2f(z)\|_A^3 = \|[f(2z) - 2f(z), f(2z) - 2f(z), f(2z) - 2f(z)]\|_A = 0$$

for all $z \in A$. So $f(2z) = 2f(z)$ for all $z \in A$. By using induction, we infer that $f(2^n z) = 2^n f(z)$ for all $z \in A$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $D(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Hence it follows from (5.6) that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation. \square

Corollary 5.3. Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2$ and q_3 be non-negative real numbers such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 < 1$ and $q_i < 2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{p_1} + \|y\|_A^{p_2} + \|z\|_A^{p_3}), \quad (5.8)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \leq \epsilon(\|x\|_A^{q_1} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|z\|_A^{q_3}) \quad (5.9)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\varphi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.1). Suppose that the function $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(2^n x, y, z) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(x, 2^n y, z) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(x, y, 2^n z) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.3) and (5.4). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.4, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. We show that if the mapping ψ satisfies one of the conditions (i), (ii) or (iii), then $f = D$.

Let ψ satisfies (i) (we have a similar proof if ψ satisfies (ii) or (iii)). It follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D[x, y, z] - [D(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|f[2^n x, y, z] - [f(2^n x), y, z] - [2^n x, f(y), z] - [2^n x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(2^n x, y, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$D([x, y, z]) = [D(x), y, z] + [x, f(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.10)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof Theorem 5.2. \square

Theorem 5.5. Let $\Phi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (5.12)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \Phi(x, y, z), \quad (5.13)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \leq \Psi(x, y, z) \quad (5.14)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra derivation $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_A \leq \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\Phi}(x) \quad (5.15)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.8, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (5.15) and

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.6. Let $\Phi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.11). Suppose that the function $\Psi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, z\right) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \Psi\left(x, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, y, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.13) and (5.14). Then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.8, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2. \square

Corollary 5.7. Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2$ and q_3 be non-negative real numbers such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 2$. Suppose that $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying (5.8) and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \leq \epsilon (\|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} + \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|z\|_A^{q_3}) \quad (5.16)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Theorem 5.8. Let $\Phi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.11). Suppose that the function $\Psi: A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, y, z\right) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Psi\left(x, \frac{y}{2^n}, z\right) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Psi\left(x, y, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.13) and (5.14). Then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.8, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.4. \square

Theorem 5.9. Let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers and let q_1, q_2, q_3 be real numbers such that $p_i > 0$ and $q_j \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta \|x\|_A^{p_1} \|y\|_A^{p_2} \|z\|_A^{p_3}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \leq \epsilon \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \quad (5.18)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $q_1 \neq 1$ and $p_1 > 0$. Therefore it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.4 that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let $q_1 < 1$. It follows from (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|f[2^n x, y, z] - [f(2^n x), y, z] - [2^n x, f(y), z] - [2^n x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{nq_1}}{2^n} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Therefore

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), y, z] + [x, f(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.19)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (5.20) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, we get (5.20) when $q_1 > 1$. So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation. \square

Theorem 5.10. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $p_i > 0$ and $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \neq 3$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying (5.17) and (5.18). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.4 that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 < 3$. It follows from (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \|f([2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z]) - [f(2^n x), 2^n y, 2^n z] - [2^n x, f(2^n y), 2^n z] - [2^n x, 2^n y, f(2^n z)]\|_A \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n(q_1+q_2+q_3)}}{8^n} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Therefore

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), y, z] + [x, f(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.20)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (5.20) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, we get (5.20) when $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 > 3$. So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee(s) for careful considerations and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Amyari, M.S. Moslehian, Approximately ternary semigroup homomorphisms, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 77 (2006) 1–9.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2 (1950) 64–66.
- [3] P. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, 2002.
- [4] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991) 431–434.
- [5] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184 (1994) 431–436.
- [6] P. Găvruta, An answer to a question of John Rassias concerning the stability of Cauchy equation, in: *Advances in Equations and Inequalities*, in: *Hadronic Math. Ser.*, USA, 1999, pp. 67–71.
- [7] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [8] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers–Ulam stability of mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 126 (1998) 425–430.
- [10] G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, Stability of ψ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 19 (1996) 219–228.
- [11] K.W. Jun, H.-M. Kim, On the stability of Euler–Lagrange type cubic mappings in quasi-Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332 (2007) 1334–1349.
- [12] Soon-Mo Jung, Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Jensen’s equation and its application, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 126 (1998) 3137–3143.
- [13] S. Jung, On the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 204 (1996) 221–226.
- [14] Y.S. Jung, On the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of module left derivations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.07.003.
- [15] C. Park, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 275 (2002) 711–720.
- [16] C. Park, Approximate homomorphisms on JB^* -triples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 306 (2005) 375–381.
- [17] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* 36 (2005) 79–97.
- [18] C. Park, Isomorphisms between unital C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 307 (2005) 753–762.
- [19] C. Park, Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2006), doi:10.1016/j.bulsci.2006.07.004.
- [20] C. Park, Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 293 (2004) 419–434.
- [21] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy–Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations, *J. Lie Theory* 15 (2005) 393–414.
- [22] C. Park, Y. Cho, M. Han, Stability of functional inequalities associated with Jordan–von Neumann type additive functional equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2007 (41820) (2007) 1–12.
- [23] C. Park, J. Hou, S. Oh, Homomorphisms between JC^* -algebras and between Lie C^* -algebras, *Acta Math. Sinica* 21 (2005) 1391–1398.
- [24] Ch. Park, A. Najati, Homomorphisms and derivations in C^* -algebras, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2007 (2007), Article ID 80630.
- [25] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.* 46 (1) (1982) 126–130.
- [26] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 108 (1984) 445–446.
- [27] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a problem of Ulam, *J. Approx. Theory* 57 (3) (1989) 268–273.
- [28] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a stability problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.* 12 (1992) 95–103.
- [29] J.M. Rassias, Alternative contraction principle and alternative Jensen and Jensen type mappings, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* 2 (2005) 92–101.
- [30] J.M. Rassias, On the Cauchy–Ulam stability of the Jensen equation in C^* -algebras, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. Stat.* 4 (5) (2006) 1–10.
- [31] J.M. Rassias, Refined Hyers–Ulam approximation of approximately Jensen type mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 131 (1) (2007) 89–98.
- [32] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [33] Th.M. Rassias, Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations, *Aequationes Math.* 39 (1990) 292–293, 309.
- [34] Th.M. Rassias, The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 246 (2000) 352–378.
- [35] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 251 (2000) 264–284.
- [36] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [37] Th.M. Rassias, P. Šemrl, On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers–Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992) 989–993.
- [38] F. Skof, Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* 53 (1983) 113–129.
- [39] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.
- [40] H. Zettl, A characterization of ternary rings of operators, *Adv. Math.* 48 (1983) 117–143.